



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| 09/707,015 | 09/707,015 11/06/2000 | | Arik Elberse | 476-1955 | 8187 | | |
| 23644 | 7590 | 10/06/2004 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| BARNES | & THOR | NBURG | LEZAK, AR | LEZAK, ARRIENNE M | | | |
| P.O. BOX 2 CHICAGO. | | 90-2786 | | ART UNIT | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | | 2143 | | | |
| | | | | DATE MAIL ED: 10/06/2004 | DATE MAIL ED: 10/06/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | - H | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/707,015 | ELBERSE ET AL. | | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | • | | | | |
| | Arrienne M. Lezak | 2143 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | | | ess | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | IS APPLICATION IN CONDITIC void abandonment of this applicable to a timely filed amendment whimal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time | ON FOR ALLOWAN cation. A proper rep | CE. lly to a eation in | | | | |
| r=1 | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three modern contents of the shortened (b) above, if checked. | risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. So 36(a) and the appropriate extention. The appropriate extention or (| extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in | | | | |
| earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | | | |
| $2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | | | | | |
| (a) Method they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or s | implifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel | ing a corresponding number of | finally rejected clain | ns. | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ction(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed | amendment | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NO | T place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which wer | e newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | and an | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 & 5-20</u> . | | | / | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | _ / | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | proved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. |)/ | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | | | / | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | PERVISURY PATENTA | YAMINER | | | | |
| | | ECHNOLOGY CENTE | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/707,015

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Examiner notes that Applicant has amended claims requiring a new search. Moreover, Applicant has addressed a substantive (112) issue which could have been addressed earlier but for Applicant's admitted oversight, which issue will now require extensive further consideration.